

## **Chapter 10**

# **Zweier I-Convergent Double Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Function**



## 10.1 Introduction

Recently Vakeel. A. Khan et. al.[37] introduced and studied the following classes of sequence spaces:

$$\mathcal{Z}^I(M) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : I - \lim M(\frac{|x'_k - L|}{\rho}) = 0 \text{ for some } L \text{ and } \rho > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : I - \lim M(\frac{|x'_k|}{\rho}) = 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(M) = \{(x_k) \in \omega : \sup_k M(\frac{|x'_k|}{\rho}) < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0\}.$$

Also we denote by

$$m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I(M) = \mathcal{Z}_\infty(M) \cap \mathcal{Z}^I(M)$$

and

$$m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I(M) = \mathcal{Z}_\infty(M) \cap \mathcal{Z}_0^I(M).$$

## 10.2 Main Results

In this Chapter we introduce the following classes of Zweier I-Convergent double sequence spaces defined by the Orlicz function.

$${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M) = \{x = (x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega : I - \lim M(\frac{|x'_{ij} - L|}{\rho}) = 0$$

for some  $L \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\rho > 0\}$ ,

$${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M) = \{x = (x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega : I - \lim M(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho}) = 0 \text{ for some } \rho > 0\},$$

---

“Mazur and Orlicz are direct pupils of Banach; they represent the theory of operations today in Poland and their names cover of “Studia Mathematica” indicate direct continuation of Banach’s scientific programme.”-Hugo Steinhaus

$${}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(M) = \{x = (x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega : \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : \text{there exist } K > 0 :$$

$$M(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho}) \geq K \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \in I\}.$$

$${}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty(M) = \{x = (x_{ij}) \in {}_2\omega : \sup_{i,j} M(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho}) < \infty\}$$

Also we denote by

$$m_{{}_2\mathcal{Z}}^I(M) = {}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(M) \cap {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$$

and

$$m_{{}_2\mathcal{Z}_0}^I(M) = {}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(M) \cap {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M).$$

Throughout the chapter, for the sake of convenience, we will denote by  $Z^p(x_k) = x'$ ,  $Z^p(y_k) = y'$ ,  $Z^p(z_k) = z'$  for  $x, y, z \in \omega$ .

**Theorem 10.2.1.** For any Orlicz function  $M$ , the classes of sequences  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$ ,  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ ,  $m_{{}_2\mathcal{Z}}^I(M)$  and  $m_{{}_2\mathcal{Z}_0}^I(M)$  are linear spaces.

**Proof.** We shall prove the result for the space  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$ . The proof for the other spaces will follow similarly. Let  $(x_{ij}), (y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be scalars. Then there exists positive numbers  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that

$$I - \lim M(\frac{|x'_{ij} - L_1|}{\rho_1}) = 0, \text{ for some } L_1 \in \mathbb{C} ;$$

$$I - \lim M(\frac{|y'_{ij} - L_2|}{\rho_2}) = 0, \text{ for some } L_2 \in \mathbb{C} ;$$

That is for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$A_1 = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : M(\frac{|x'_{ij} - L_1|}{\rho_1}) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in I, \quad [10.1]$$

$$A_2 = \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : M(\frac{|y'_{ij} - L_2|}{\rho_2}) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}\} \in I. \quad [10.2]$$

Let  $\rho_3 = \max\{2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2\}$ . Since  $M$  is non-decreasing and convex function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & M\left(\frac{|(\alpha x'_{ij} + \beta y'_{ij}) - (\alpha L_1 + \beta L_2)|}{\rho_3}\right) \\ & \leq M\left(\frac{|\alpha||x'_{ij} - L_1|}{\rho_3}\right) + M\left(\frac{|\beta||y'_{ij} - L_2|}{\rho_3}\right). \\ & \leq M\left(\frac{|x'_{ij} - L_1|}{\rho_1}\right) + M\left(\frac{|y'_{ij} - L_2|}{\rho_2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, by [10.1] and [10.2],

$$\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : M\left(\frac{|(\alpha x'_{ij} + \beta y'_{ij}) - (\alpha L_1 + \beta L_2)|}{\rho_3}\right) > \epsilon\} \subset A_1 \cup A_2.$$

Therefore  $(\alpha x_{ij} + \beta y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$ . Hence  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  is a linear space.

**Theorem 10.2.2.** The spaces  ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I(M)$  and  ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I(M)$  are Banach spaces normed by

$$||x_{ij}|| = \inf\{\rho > 0 : \sup_{i,j} M\left(\frac{|x_{ij}|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1\}$$

**Proof.** Proof of this result is easy in view of the existing techniques and therefore is omitted.

**Theorem 10.2.3.** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be Orlicz functions that satisfy the  $\triangle_2$ -condition. Then

- (a)  $X(M_2) \subseteq X(M_1.M_2)$ ;
- (b)  $X(M_1) \cap X(M_2) \subseteq X(M_1 + M_2)$  For  $X = {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I, {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I, {}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I$  and  ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let  $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M_2)$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$I - \lim_{i,j} M_2\left(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho}\right) = 0 \quad [10.3]$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and choose  $\delta$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $M_1(t) < \epsilon$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \delta$ . Write  $y_{ij} = M_2(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho})$  and consider for all  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\lim_{0 \leq y_{ij} \leq \delta} M_1(y_{ij}) = \lim_{y_{ij} \leq \delta} M_1(y_{ij}) + \lim_{y_{ij} > \delta} M_1(y_{ij}).$$

We have

$$\lim_{y_{ij} \leq \delta} M_1(y_{ij}) \leq M_1(2) \lim_{y_{ij} \leq \delta} (y_{ij}). \quad [10.4]$$

For  $(y_{ij}) > \delta$ , we have

$$(y_{ij}) < (\frac{y_{ij}}{\delta}) < 1 + (\frac{y_{ij}}{\delta}).$$

Since  $M_1$  is non-decreasing and convex, it follows that

$$M_1(y_{ij}) < M_1(1 + (\frac{y_{ij}}{\delta})) < \frac{1}{2}M_1(2) + \frac{1}{2}M_1(\frac{2y_{ij}}{\delta})$$

Since  $M_1$  satisfies the  $\triangle_2$ -condition, we have

$$M_1(y_{ij}) < \frac{1}{2}K(\frac{y_{ij}}{\delta})M_1(2) + \frac{1}{2}K(\frac{y_{ij}}{\delta})M_1(2) = K(\frac{y_{ij}}{\delta})M_1(2).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{y_{ij} > \delta} M_1(y_{ij}) \leq \max(1, K\delta^{-1}M_1(2)) \lim_{y_{ij} > \delta} (y_{ij}). \quad [10.5]$$

From [10.3], [10.4] and [10.5], we have  $(x_{ij}) \in \mathcal{Z}_0^I(M_1).(M_2)$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M_2) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_0^I(M_1.M_2).$$

The other cases can be proved similarly.

(b) Let  $(x_k) \in \mathcal{Z}_0^I(M_1) \cap \mathcal{Z}_0^I(M_2)$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $I - \lim_k M_1(\frac{|x'_k|}{\rho}) = 0$  and  $I - \lim_k M_2(\frac{|x'_k|}{\rho}) = 0$ . The rest of the proof follows from the following equality

$$\lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (M_1 + M_2)(\frac{|x'_k|}{\rho}) = \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_1(\frac{|x'_k|}{\rho}) + \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_2(\frac{|x'_k|}{\rho})$$

Theorem 10.2.4. The spaces  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  and  ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I(M)$  are solid and monotone .

Proof. We shall prove the result for  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ . For  $m_{\mathcal{Z}_0}^I(M)$  the result can be proved similarly. Let  $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ . Then there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$I - \lim_{i,j} M(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho}) = 0 \quad [10.6]$$

Let  $(\alpha_{ij})$  be a sequence of scalars with  $|\alpha_{ij}| \leq 1$  for all  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Then the result follows from [10.6] and the following inequality for all

$$M(\frac{|\alpha_{ij}x'_{ij}|}{\rho}) \leq |\alpha_{ij}|M(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho}) \leq M(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho}).$$

By Lemma 1.12, a sequence space E is solid implies that E is monotone. We have the space  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  is monotone.

Theorem 10.2.5. The spaces  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  and  ${}_2m_{\mathcal{Z}}^I(M)$  are neither solid nor monotone in general.

Proof. Here we give a counter example. Let  $I = I_\delta$  and  $M(x) = x^2$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . Consider the K-step space  $X_K(M)$  of  $X(M)$  defined as follows, Let  $(x_{ij}) \in X(M)$  and let  $(y_{ij}) \in X_K(M)$  be such that

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{ij}, & \text{if (i+j) is even,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the sequence  $x_{ij}$  defined by  $x_{ij} = 1$  for all  $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  but its K-stepspace preimage does not belong to  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$ . Thus  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  is not monotone.

Hence  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  is not solid.

**Theorem 10.2.6.** The spaces  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  and  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  are not convergence free in general.

**Proof.** Here we give a counter example. Let  $I = I_f$  and  $M(x) = x^3$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ . Consider the sequence  $(x_{ij})$  and  $(y_{ij})$  defined by

$$x_{ij} = \frac{1}{i+j} \quad \text{and} \quad y_{ij} = i+j$$

Then  $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  and  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ , but  $(y_{ij}) \notin {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  and  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ . Hence the spaces  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  and  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  are not convergence free.

**Theorem 10.2.7.** The spaces  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  and  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  are sequence algebras.

**Proof.** We prove that  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  is a sequence algebra. For the space  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$ , the result can be proved similarly. Let  $(x_{ij}), (y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ . Then

$$I - \lim M\left(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{\rho_1}\right) = 0$$

and

$$I - \lim M\left(\frac{|y'_{ij}|}{\rho_2}\right) = 0$$

Let  $\rho = \rho_1 \cdot \rho_2 > 0$ . Then we can show that

$$I - \lim M\left(\frac{|(x'_{ij} \cdot y'_{ij})|}{\rho}\right) = 0.$$

Thus  $(x_{ij} \cdot y_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ . Hence  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  is a sequence algebra.



Theorem 10.2.8. Let  $M$  be an Orlicz function. Then the inclusions

$${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M) \subset {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M) \subset {}_2\mathcal{Z}_\infty^I(M)$$

hold.

Proof: Let  $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$ . Then there exists  $L \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\rho > 0$  such that

$$I - \lim M\left(\frac{|x'_{ij} - L|}{\rho}\right) = 0.$$

We have  $M\left(\frac{|x'_{ij}|}{2\rho}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{|x'_{ij} - L|}{\rho}\right) + \frac{1}{2}M\left(\frac{|L|}{\rho}\right)$ . Taking supremum over  $(i,j)$  both sides we get  $(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ . The inclusion  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M) \subset {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  is obvious.

Theorem 10.2.9. If  $I$  is not maximal and  $I \neq I_f$ , then the spaces  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  and  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  are not symmetric.

Proof. Let  $A \in I$  be infinite and  $M(x) = x$  for all  $x = (x_{ij})$ . If

$$x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } i, j \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(x_{ij}) \in {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M) \subset {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M),$$

by lemma 1.14. Let  $K \subset \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $K \notin I$  and  $\mathbb{N} - K \notin I$ .

Let  $\phi : K \rightarrow A$  and  $\psi : \mathbb{N} - K \rightarrow \mathbb{N} - A$  be bijections, then the map  $\pi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  defined by

$$\pi(k) = \begin{cases} \phi(k), & \text{for } k \in K, \\ \psi(k), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is a permutation on  $\mathbb{N}$ , but  $(x_{\pi(i)\pi(j)}) \notin {}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  and

$(x_{\pi(i)\pi(j)}) \notin {}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$ . Hence  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}_0^I(M)$  and  ${}_2\mathcal{Z}^I(M)$  are not symmetric.

